

**NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**  
**PALIKA KENDRA : NEW DELHI**

**COUNCIL'S SPECIAL MEETING NO. 11 /2007-08 DATED 05.11.2007.**

**Arrangement of business**

<b>ITEM NO.</b>	<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>PAGE</b>	<b>ANNEXURE</b>
1 (A-47)	Imp./Upgradation of Talkatora Indoor Stadium. SH : Construction of New Block and basement.	2 – 15	16 – 41
2 (G-7)	Late Payment Surcharge Scheme for Government Departments.	42 – 43	44
3 (G-8)	Providing of Electronic Energy Meters (Microprocessor Based) in NDMC Area.	45 – 47	48 – 73
4 (H-10)	Implementation of office order of Delhi Transco Ltd regarding waiving off the condition for promotion up to 2 <sup>nd</sup> level for grant of 3 <sup>rd</sup> T.B.P scale w.e.f. 1.7.06.	74 – 76	
5 (H-11)	Compassionate appointment as per DOPT, Govt. of India's guidelines.	77 – 78	79 – 84
6 (A-48)	Improvement to Community Centre, Golf Link. SH: Construction of an additional floor on the existing building of Community Centre, Golf Link.	85 – 87	
7 (C-36)	Procurement of Computers, Printers & Servers etc.	88 – 90	
8 (B-30)	Providing Consultancy services for SCADA System in NDMC area for 66/33KV Electric Supply Distribution System.	91	92 – 107
9 (B-31)	Turnkey execution of system strengthening in NDMC area	108	109 – 131
10 (C-37)	CORRIGENDUM Amendment in Minutes of the Council Meeting No.09/2007-08 held through circulation on 11.10.2007.	132	
11 (E-5)	Scheme for Door-to-Door collection of Garbage	133 - 138	

**ITEM NO. 01 (A-47)****1. Name of the subject/ project :****Sub: Imp./Upgradation of Talkatora Indoor Stadium.****SH: Construction of New Block and basement.****2. Name of the deptt./deptt. concerned :**

Civil Engg. Deptt., NDMC

**3. Brief History :**

For implementation of the Common Wealth Games scheduled to be held in Delhi in 2010 and accordingly 1st meeting of core group of officers was held on 20.10.04 in the Conference Room of Chief Secretary, Delhi, to select various competition & training venues. At the outset, Chief Secretary stated that final selections of the venues may take some time but all the department should prepare their action plan keeping in mind the venues which were used for the Asian Games, 1982 as these venues are in all probability going to be used for the Common Wealth Games with some modification and up-gradation. If any changes in the venues are made in subsequent stage, than portion of the action plan can be suitably modified.

Accordingly, Talkatora Indoor Stadium & Shivaji Stadium were selected the two venues likely to host events during the Common Wealth Games and as such, for proper renovation of the stadias, a rough cost estimate was prepared and the case was placed before the Council to accord approval to the proposal. Council vide its Reso no. 10 (A-9) dts. 22.07.05 approved the proposal for up-gradation of NDMC stadias as under:

"Council resolved and approved the up-gradation of two NDMC Stadia to the international level even if these are not used during Commonwealth Games from its own funds at a rough cost of Rs. 30.45 crores. In case, it is decided to use the stadiums for Commonwealth Games the requisite funds would come from Delhi Govt."

The decision of the Council was accordingly intimated to Jt. Director (UD), Officer-in-Charge, Coordination Cell, Govt. of NCT of Delhi, Department of Urban Development for arrangement of requisite funds.

Considering the above & after having detailed discussions and deliberations held with Mr. Prasad, ADG (Tech.) SAI and with M/S EKS, an international consultant appointed by SAI for selection, submission of venue brief, technical parameters, examining the drawings as per requirement and make recommendations to Organising Committee, CWG on the games, selected to host Boxing a competition venue at Talkatora Indoor Stadium and a training venue Hockey Event at Shivaji Stadium. For this purpose, a need was felt for Improvement/Up-gradation of Talkatora Indoor Stadium in order to meet the requirement of C.W.G. Federation and as per the venue brief submitted by the International Consultant, M/S EKS, a new multi-storey block with the two basement for parking just adjacent to the existing Talkatora Indoor Stadium is to be constructed for which the tenders have been invited and has been processed.

As per the proposal where the construction of additional block is to come up, the existing structures like swimming pool, electric sub station, AC plant room, Service centre Fire pump house, Fire fighting tanks, underground water tank & other structure which are coming in the

alignment of proposed new block are required to be demolished, survey report after having made, the case was placed before the Council in its last meeting held on 17.10.07 and stands approved by the Council.

#### 4.. Detailed proposal on the subject/project:

M/S Raja Aederi Consultant Pvt. Ltd. has been appointed as an Architect consultant for the project of Talkatora Indoor Stadium and Shivaji Stadium, the details of which is appearing at **annexure 'A'**. (See pages 16 - 17 )

The Architect Consultant submitted the detailed estimate alongwith the drawings as per the venue brief, presentation made before the Organising Committee and discussions held on the subject with the Traffic/Security/Delhi Fire Services. The concept/detailed proposal was subsequently approved by O.C, C.A. NDMC, DUAC and based on the same & in view of the Council's Approval dtd. 22.07.05, the detailed estimate amounting to Rs. 34.80 crores was technically sanctioned and the NIT amounting to Rs. 28.18 crores was approved by the competent authority for Talkatora Indoor Stadium.

Initially, the tenders were invited with the last date of application, sale & receipt as 20.08.07, 24.08.07 & 27.08.07 respectively after giving wide publicity in four Metro Cities, newspapers as well as on NDMC website. Two tenders were sold as per the following details :

1. M/S Simplex Projects Ltd.
2. M/S Swadeshi Construction Co.

Based on the representation received from the above firms that due to shortage of time and they have to visit the site as well needs to collect the datas from the different sources from submission of competitive offers requested for extension of dates. After obtaining the approval, the dates were extended by making publication in the newspaper, NDMC website etc. and fixing the last date of application, sale & receipt as 31.08.07, 03.09.07 & 10.09.07 respectively. On the date of opening i.e. 10.09.07 only one tender of M/S Simplex Projects Ltd. was received and their technical bid was opened. Based on the recommendation of the Sub-Committee constituted for examining the technical bid of the firm and approval accorded, the financial bid was opened on 14.09.07 as per the following details :

Estt. Cost put to Tender: Rs. 28,17,56,320/-

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>% age quoted</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1.	M/S Simplex Projects Ltd.	96.67%	55,48,10,241.00

The justifications was prepared which works out i.e. overall percentage of tendered cost over justified cost of tender as 17.32% above. Negotiations were conducted on 8.10.07 in the chamber of E-In-C wherein interalia E-In-C categorically brought out certain absurd rates quoted by the firm and persuaded to reduce to the extent possible and was requested to confirm in writing. After great persuasion, the firm requested to consider various aspects of having no clause of 10CC, Vat to be considered as statutory expenses and contractors profit as 15% and further, as a gesture of goodwill and to be part of the Common Wealth Games, offered a rebate of 4.5% on their tendered amount.

Considering the above facts, N.S.C.after having considered the above parameters, recommended to consider to award the work to the first lowest, under CPWD Manual clause 18.12.1. E-IN-C after making recommendations sent the file to the Finance for consideration and concurrence in the proposal.

## 5. Financial implications of the proposed project/subject:

The anticipated total financial implications for the complete project i/c Renovation/add./alteration of the existing stadia shall be Rs. 104.01 crores, as per the details given and placed as **annexure-B (See pages 18 - 20)**. However, for the above work, the total financial implication is 52.98 crores.

There is a budget provision of Rs. 7.2 crores exists under the Head of A/C C.7.5 (ii) during the year 2007-08.

## 6. Implementation schedule :

Time of Completion of work : 18 months

## 7. Comments of the Finance Deptt. on the subject:

1. In response to call of tenders, only one firm has offered their rates at **96.67% above** the estimated cost. Justification as submitted by the Consultant and checked by Executives is at **62.60% above** the estimated cost. Department has worked out the difference of tendered cost over justified cost at **17.32% above** (flag 'B'). Negotiation was conducted with the single tenderer (it is recorded at P-66/N that the decision for conducting negotiation was taken by the Chairperson but relevant file containing the said decision is not on record). During negotiations, the agency concerned expressed its inability to reduce rates stating mainly that in the NIT, there is no provision for reimbursement for increase in cost of labour and material to be used in work and he has accordingly loaded his offer with reference to general trend of increase as observed in the past. Payment of VAT and contractor's profit were also the added points of the agency for not reducing the quoted rates. Subsequently, the contractor has offered the rebate of 4.5% on tendered amount. In addition, department has considered 3.17% on account of VAT, making a total of 7.67%. After subtracting this rebate plus VAT element i.e. 7.67% from the difference between justified rates and quoted rates i.e. 17.32% as worked out by department, net value comes to 9.65% above the justified cost.

On going through the justification statement, it is noted that department has worked out the quoted rates higher than the justified rates by 17.32% above. But the quoted rates on the basis of Rs. 55,41,24,241 (quoted rate) – Rs.45,81,35,776 (justified rate) divided by justified rate comes at 20.9519...% above instead of 17.32% as worked by the department. (Rs.45,81,35,776 +20.9519%=Rs.55.41 crores). Case therefore needs to be settled in the light of quoted rate at **20.95% above** the justified rate.

Regarding VAT on material to be used in the work, the same has been considered in the analysis of rates prepared for working out the justified rates. The agency concerned is perhaps talking about tax (VAT) on Works Contract deducted at source on all Work Contracts on total cost of project including labour element. The VAT is payable on taxable turnover which is total consideration for the contract (paid or payable minus charges towards labour, services and other like charges). The firm after filing return claims refund to the extent as stated. As the turnover which is total consideration for the contract (paid or payable minus charges towards labour, services and other like charges). The firm after filing return claims refund to the extent as stated. As the element of VAT is indeterminate, consideration on this count in the justification does not appear reasonable. No weightage on account of VAT has been given in similar other cases in the past while framing justification. Such like indeterminate element should be taken care of in the variation on plus side, which is maximum 10% in terms of Para 18.12.1 of CPWD Works Manual. The quoted rate on exclusion of element of VAT & taking into account of rebate of 4.5% offered by the firm comes at 15.51% higher than the justified rates, which is more than 10% limit permissible

under Para 18.12.1 of CPWD Works Manual. Justifying the need of considering this single offer at rates, which are higher than the permissible limit of 10% & bringing on record the trend of rates for similar type of works in the recent past, the matter may be noted to the Empowered Committee for consideration & decision.

2. It has been recorded that administrative approval and expenditure sanction for this work was accorded by the Council vide its Resolution NO. 10(A-9) dated 22.07.05 for both Talkatora and Shivaji Stadium for Rs. 30.45 crore. This position is not factually correct. Copy of Resolution as referred to, (which is placed at flag 'A') contains approval of the Council for a rough cost estimate of Rs. 30.45 crore for up-gradation of two NDMC stadia i.e. at Shivaji Stadium and Talkatora Indoor Stadium. No estimate for up-gradation of stadium in question has been processed for A/A & E/S of the Council. The file had not come for concurrence of estimate to Finance. As such, laid down procedure regarding A/A & E/S of the estimate was not followed in this case.

3. The stadia are to be used for Commonwealth Games. As such the requisite funds should come from Government for which action, if already not taken, should be initiated.

4. Permission from Ministry of Forest for cutting of trees and also NOC from Fire Department are still awaited. It needs to be ensured that all approvals necessary for taking up the work in question are obtained before award of work.

5. Project Teams have been constituted for undertaking the Projects specified in Office Order dated 21.10.2005. As per the said order, for stadia Dy.F.A. –III is the Finance Member of the Project Team. The case in question has not been got examined from Member-Finance at any stage.

6. For Pre & post-administrative planning/execution of works, which come under Fast Track Projects, procedure was notified vide Officer Order dated 26.12.2005. As per the said O.O. Preliminary Estimate for the project was required to be got cleared from Council after clearance from Empowered Committee. No such course of action was taken. NIT was required to be approved by Empowered Committee. Record reveals that NIT was approved at E-in-C at P.46/N without noting the same to Empowered Committee.

7. Tendered amount in respect of item No. 101 of Civil Work has not been properly computed in total tendered cost as reflected in comparative statement. This will change the amount of tendered value as well as percentage thereof. This needs to be re-visited and corrected.

8. Above observations of Finance Department may be put up before Empowered Committee and the Council for considered decision.

#### **8. Comments of the Department on comments of Finance Deptt.**

It is clarified as under :

S. No.	OBSERVATION	CLARIFICATION
1.	In response to call of tenders, only one firm has offered their rates at <b>96.67% above</b> the estimated cost. Justification as submitted by the Consultant and checked by Executives is at <b>62.60% above</b> the estimated cost. Department has worked out the difference of tendered cost over justified cost at <b>17.32% above</b> (flat 'B'). Negotiation was conducted with the single	Matter of records

	<p>tenderer (it is recorded at P.66/N that the decision for conducting negotiation was taken by the Chairperson buy relevant file containing the said decision is not on record). During negotiations, the agency concerned expressed its inability to reduce rates stating mainly that in the NIT, there is no provision for reimbursement for increase in cost of labour and material to be used in work and he has accordingly loaded his offer with reference to general trend of increase as observed in the past. Payment of VAT and contractor's profit were also the added points of the agency for not reducing the quoted rates. Subsequently, the contractor has offered the rebate of 4.5% on tendered amount. In addition, department has considered 3.17% on account of VAT, making a total of 7.67%. After subtracting this rebate plus VAT element i.e. 7.67% from the difference between justified rates and quoted rates i.e. 17.32% as worked out by department, net value comes to 9.65% above the justified cost.</p> <p>On going through the justification statement, it is noted that department has worked out the quoted rates higher than the justified rates by 17.32% above. But the quoted rates on the basis of Rs. 55,41,24,241 (quoted rate) – Rs.45,81,35,776 (justified rate) divided by justified rate comes at 20.9519...% above instead of 17.32% as worked by the department. (Rs.45,81,35,776 +20.9519%=Rs.55.41 crores). Case therefore needs to be settled in the light of quoted rate at <b><u>20.95% above</u></b> the justified rate.</p>	<p>Keeping in view the observations of finance the overall process of justification was relooked with regard variation of the conditions of NIT and their financial impact in working out justified rates. The details are as below.</p> <p>The justifications of rates has been revisited considering anticipated bare minimum escalation in cost of material as there is no escalation clause i.e. 10 CC exist in NIT and reduced time taken for completion of the project as per CPWD manual (Refer flag 'A'). With the revised justifications it works out to :</p> <p>Variation between overall tendered cost and revised justified cost <b>12.9153%</b></p> <p>Variation between overall tendered cost and revised justified cost <b>7.83414%</b> after considering a rebate of 4.5% on tendered cost. Variation between overall tendered cost and justified cost after considering 3.17% VAT comes out to <b>4.2916%</b>.</p>
--	---	--

	<p>Regarding VAT on material to be used in the work, the same has been considered in the analysis of rates prepared for working out the justified rates. The agency concerned is perhaps talking about tax (VAT) on Works Contract deducted at source on all Work Contracts on total cost of project including labour element. The VAT is payable on taxable turnover which is total consideration for the contract (paid or payable minus charges towards labour, services and other like charges). The firm after filing return claims refund to the extent as stated. As the element of VAT is indeterminate, consideration on this count in the justification does not appear reasonable. No weightage on account of VAT has been given in similar other cases in the past while framing justification. Such like indeterminate element should be taken care of in the variation on plus side, which is maximum 10% in terms of Para 18.12.1 of CPWD Works Manual. The quoted rate on exclusion of element of VAT &amp; taking into account of rebate of 4.5% offered by the firm comes at 15.51% higher than the justified rates, which is more than 10% limit permissible under Para 18.12.1 of CPWD Works Manual. Justifying the need of considering this single offer at rates, which are higher than the permissible limit of 10% &amp; bringing on record the trend of rates for similar type of works in the recent past, the matter may be noted to the Empowered Committee for consideration &amp; decision.</p>	<p>This was considered based on the issues raised during negotiations by the firm. However as advised by the Finance that the element of VAT is indeterminate, consideration on this count in the justification does not appear reasonable. As such this has not been considered in the revised justifications. However, it is clarified that as per direction VAT @ 2% deducted at source at the time of payments to the agency on total work done. Further deduction Sales &amp; Taxes Department of Delhi based on return by agency. Therefore claim of agency of 3.17% VAT appears to be justified and fair.</p>
2.	<p>At 'x' on P.65/N, it has been recorded that administrative approval and expenditure sanction for this work was accorded by the Council vide its Resolution NO. 10(A-9) dated 22.07.05 for both Talkatora and Shivaji Stadium for Rs. 30.45 crore. This position is not factually correct. Copy of Resolution as referred to, (which is placed at flag 'A') contains approval of the Council for a rough cost estimate of Rs. 30.45 crore for up-gradation of two NDMC stadia i.e. at Shivaji Stadium and Talkatora Indoor Stadium. No estimate for up-gradation of stadium in question has been processed for A/A &amp; E/S of the Council. The file had not come for concurrence of estimate to Finance. As such, laid down procedure regarding A/A &amp; E/S of the estimate was not followed in this case.</p>	<p>The Resolution NO. 10(A-9) dated 22.07.05 is reproduced as under:-      "Council resolved and approved the up-gradation of two NDMC Stadiums to the international level even if these are not used during Commonwealth Games from its own funds at a rough cost of Rs. 30.45 crores. In case, it is decided to use the stadiums for Commonwealth Games the requisite funds would come from Delhi Govt."      Based on the above, the details were intimated to Delhi Govt. There has been change in the concept plan for utilization of the stadia depending upon the availability of the central arena which resulted in its finalization and ultimately the Talkatora Indoor Stadium was selected to host a competition venue for Boxing and accordingly the stadia has to</p>

		<p>be developed as per the CWG Federation and the venue brief submitted by M/S E.K.S, new building has to be constructed. The existing stadia is also to be renovated/ add./ alt. to be carried out to meet their requirement for which the estimates are still under process. The concept plan of Shivaji Stadium is yet to be finalized and approved by the OC, CWG. However the total estimated cost as projected by the consultant M/S Raja Aederi Consultants Pvt. Ltd., is Rs. 176 crores (84 T + 92 S). Delhi Govt. has been intimated the total rough cost as Rs. 150 crores. The A/A &amp; E/S shall be taken from the Council simultaneously keeping in view of the urgency for execution of the time bound project.</p>
3.	<p>The stadia are to be used for Commonwealth Games. As such the requisite funds should come from Government for which action, if already not taken, should be initiated.</p>	<p>Action has already been initiated as detailed in para-2 above.</p>
4.	<p>Permission from Ministry of Forest for cutting of trees and also NOC from Fire Department are still awaited. It needs to be ensured that all approvals necessary for taking up the work in question are obtained before award of work.</p>	<p>The case is to be placed before the Hon' able Supreme Court shortly. The CEC has cleared the proposal. With regards to NOC from Fire Deptt., it is to intimate that the concept has been approved by the Fire Deptt. and only one calculation sheet on the functioning of the exhaust is to be provided by the Fire Consultant to Fire Deptt. The same shall be submitted in the next week.</p>
5.	<p>Project Teams have been constituted for undertaking the Projects specified in Office Order dated 21.10.2005. As per the said order, for stadia Dy.F.A. -III is the Finance Member of the Project Team. The case in question has not been got examined from Member-Finance at any stage.</p>	<p>Being a time bound project, action has been taken to expedite the process to save time in order to meet the dead line.</p>
6.	<p>For Pre &amp; post-administrative planning/execution of works, which come under Fast Track Projects, procedure was notified vide Officer Order dated 26.12.2005. As per the said O.O. Preliminary Estimate for the project was required to be got cleared from Council after clearance from Empowered Committee. No such course of action was taken. NIT was required to be approved by Empowered Committee. Record reveals that NIT was approved at E-in-C at P.46/N without noting the same to Empowered Committee.</p>	<p>The clarifications has been submitted in para- 2 above. The detailed estimate has been checked technically and approved as per the laid down principle. NIT being a pure technical matter, as such got approved from E-in-C.</p>

7.	Tendered amount in respect of item No. 101 of Civil Work has not been properly computed in total tendered cost as reflected in comparative statement. This will change the amount of tendered value as well as percentage thereof. This needs to be revisited and corrected.	Necessary corrections have been incorporated while revisiting the justifications and the details has been submitted in para-1.
8.	Above observations of Finance Department may be put up before Empowered Committee and the Council for considered decision.	This is being complied.

Considering the importance and a time bound project, there is a need to place the case before the Empowered Committee as suggested by the finance before the same is placed in the Council for consideration and decision. The following are the issues to be placed before the Empowered Committee.

1. Scrutiny Note of Finance and clarification submitted on the tender invited for Imp./upgradation of Talkatora Indoor Stadium. SH : Construction of new block and basement.
2. To accord A/A & E/S for the above work, in view of the Council Reso. No. 10 (A-9) dtd. 22.07.05.
3. The Consultancy fee to be paid to the Architect Consultant, M/S Raja Aederi Consultant Pvt. Ltd. in view of the increase in the scope of work as per the requirement of M/S EKS for both stadias i.e. Talkatora Indoor Stadium & Shivaji Stadium.

#### **9. Empowered Committee Meeting :**

As advised by the finance, the case was placed before the Empowered Committee in its meeting held on 18.10.07 as per the following agenda items placed at **Annexure 'C'** (See pages 21 - 41 ) alongwith revised justification statement :

1. Tender for the work of Construction of new block & basement Related to Imp./upgradation of Talkatora Indoor Stadium.
2. To accord A/A & E/S to the above work.
3. Payment to Architect Consultant – Consultancy Fee
4. Letter from M/S Simplex Projects Ltd.

#### **10. Legal Implication of the project:**

NIL

#### **11. Details of previous Council Resolutions, existing law of Parliament and Assembly on the Subject:**

Reso no. 10 (A-9) dts. 22.07.05 approved the proposal for up-gradation of NDMC stadias as under:

“Council resolved and approved the up-gradation of two NDMC Stadiums to the international level even if these are not used during Commonwealth Games from its own funds at a rough cost of Rs. 30.45 crores. In case, it is decided to use the stadiums for Commonwealth Games the requisite funds would come from Delhi Govt.”

**12. Comments of the Law Department on the subject/Project**

Does not involve any law points. Law Deptt. has no objection to the proposal.

**13.. Comments of the Department on the comments of Law Deptt.**

No comments .

**14. Presentation before Empowered Committee :**

All the items as contained in the Agenda Note was discussed and deliberated in depth considering the various options but keeping in view of the time frame and urgency of the project i/c the revised justification prepared and forward for consideration. Parawise decision taken in the matter is detailed as under:

**1. Scrutiny Note of Finance and clarification submitted on the tender invited for Imp./upgradation of Talkatora Indoor Stadium.  
SH : Construction of new block and basement.**

The revised justification statement based on the escalation cost due to cement & steel, building material as per Delhi Govt. rates and time of completion of the project, the details as has been worked out is given as under :

1.	Estimated cost put to tender	281756320.00
2.	Tendered cost as per lowest bidder	554810241.00
3.	Justified cost worked out earlier 'A'	458135776.00
	Add 7% tentative (bare min.) increase in cost of material i.e. 75% justified cost (refer details attached at annexure-1 $0.75 (458135776) \times 7/100$ )	24052128.00
	Add 2% on justified cost of marked 'A' for reduced time taken for completion of the project in view of CWG requirement whereas as per CPWD manual, besides incurring expenditure on electricity, barricading, extra cost of labour due to over time etc. (refer details attached annexure-2)	9162716.00
4.	<b>Total justified amount</b>	<b>491350620.00</b>
5.	Variation between overall tendered cost and revised justified cost	
	$\frac{554810241.00 - 491350620.00}{491350620.00} \times 100$	<b>12.9153%</b>

The agency has given a rebate of 4.5% on their tendered cost

554810241.00 – 4.5% **529843780.00**

6. Variation between overall revised tendered cost and revised justified cost
- $$\frac{529843780.00 - 491350620.00}{491350620.00} \times 100 \quad \mathbf{7.83415\%}$$
7. Variation between overall revised tendered cost and revised justified cost if VAT @3.17% is considered.
- $$\frac{529843780.00 - 508040699.00}{508040699.00} \times 100 \quad \mathbf{4.2916\%}$$

The above issue was deliberated at length and emerged to consider the above justification due to the following impediments:

1. The tender does not have any escalation clause, it is appropriate that the elements of anticipated escalation cost of materials/labour be accounted for calculating justified rates as the element of escalation can not be absorbed in the usual contractors profit, as the period of contract is 18 months. The revised justified cost as has been worked out on the basis of analyzed market rates for materials and labour as on date and in addition anticipated escalation worked out on the bases of cost indices rise in the previous year appears to be justified.
2. The work is of urgent and a time bound project as the Common Wealth Games are scheduled to be held in 2010 and has to be completed by 2009. World Boxing champion is likely to be held in end of 2009 and it has been desired by the O.C. that the deptt. should ensure completion of the whole project by that time, so that a trial run can also be held before organising C.W.Games.
3. Sh. Uday Bhatt of M/S Raja Aederi Consultant Pvt. Ltd., an Architect Consultant of the project explained that number of projects are on way/likely to start i/c in N.C.R. and there is a probability of getting even higher rates in future besides loss of precious time and as such, deptt. must resolve the issue and immediate construction activity be taken up in hand.
4. Considering the above issue and its impact on the Common Wealth Games project and a mandatory requirement to complete the project in time and considering the following:

- a. Variation between overall tendered cost and revised justified cost

$$\frac{554810241.00 - 491350620.00}{491350620.00} \times 100 \quad \mathbf{12.9153\%}$$

The agency has given a rebate of 4.5% on their tendered cost

$$554810241.00 - 4.5\% \quad 529843780.00$$

- b. Variation between overall revised tendered cost and revised justified cost

$$\frac{529843780.00 - 491350620.00}{491350620.00} \times 100 \quad \mathbf{7.83415\%}$$

**2. To accord A/A & E/S to the above work :**

Council vide Reso no. 10 (A-9) dts. 22.07.05 approved the proposal for up-gradation of NDMC stadias and the Council's decision is reproduced as under:

"Council resolved and approved the up-gradation of two NDMC Stadiums to the international level even if these are not used during Commonwealth Games from its

own funds at a rough cost of Rs. 30.45 crores. In case, it is decided to use the stadiums for Commonwealth Games the requisite funds would come from Delhi Govt."

This was intimated to Jt. Director (UD), Officer-in-Charge, Coordination Cell, Govt. of NCT of Delhi, Department of Urban Development.

Since there has been change in the concept plan for utilization of the stadia depending upon the availability of the central arena which resulted in its finalization and ultimately the Talkatora Indoor Stadium was selected to host a competition venue for Boxing and accordingly the stadia has to be developed as per the Venue brief provided by M/S EKS and approved by O.C./CWG Federation a new multy storey building with two basement has to be constructed. The existing stadia needs to be renovated/ add./ alt. is to be carried out to meet their requirement for which the estimates are still under process. The concept plan of Shivaji Stadium is yet to be approved by the OC, CWG. However the total estimated cost as projected by the consultant M/s Raja Aederi Consultants Pvt. Ltd., is Rs. 204 crores (104 T + 100 S). Delhi Govt. has been intimated the total rough cost as Rs. 150 crores. The A/A & E/S shall be taken from the Council simultaneously keeping in view of the urgency for execution of the time bound project and as such it is necessary to accord A/A & E/S to the proposal.

### **3. Payment to Architect Consultant – Consultancy Fee :**

#### **a. Imp./upgradation of Talkatora Indoor Stadium.**

Considering the scope of work which was prevailing by placing the case before the Council in July, 05 the cost of project as 15 crores and on the basis of which the tenders were invited for the appointment of Architect Consultant, M/S Raja Aederi Consultant Pvt. Ltd. were appointed with their consultancy fee as 3.25% of the project cost/lumsum amount as Rs. 50 lacs.

After having detailed discussions with the Organising Committee of CWG – 2010 & M/S EKS has deliberated this issue at a greater length and the stadia has to be developed as per their venue brief and project report/compliance report made. On this basis, a new multystorey high rise building consisting of five storey with two basement is required to be constructed, whose tenders have already been received with a tentative cost around Rs. 60 crores. Besides, other works have been carried out in the existing stadia along with land scaping and other external developmental works are required for completion of the whole project. The details of broad features in terms of the work are given as under:

1. Renovation. Imp./upgradation of the existing Talkatora Indoor Stadium – Rs. 24.00 Cores
2. New five Storey building with two basements - Rs 60.00 crores

Based on the discussion held in the Empowered Committee and as suggested by F.A., The Architect Consultant M/S Raja Aederi Consultant Pvt. Ltd. submitted their proposal for remuneration of the consultancy services @ 3% of the estimated cost of the balance project i.e. 78 crores as against 3.25%. The Consultancy Fee already stands approved i.e. 3.25% of the project cost (15 crores)/ lumsum Rs. 50 lacs shall remain the same for the renovation of the existing stadias and other related works. It has further being intimated that the other connected works like landscaping and external works, it will be at no extra cost.

b. Imp./upgradation of Shivaji Stadium.

Considering the scope of work which was prevailing by placing the case before the Council in July, 05 the cost of project as 15 crores and on the basis of which the tenders were invited for the appointment of Architect Consultant, M/S Raja Aederi Consultant Pvt. Ltd. were appointed with their consultancy fee as 3.15% of the project cost/lumsum amount as Rs. 50 lacs.

After having detailed discussions with the Organising Committee of CWG – 2010 & M/S EKS has deliberated this issue at a greater length and the stadia has to be developed as per their venue brief and project report/compliance report made. On this basis, a new multystorey high rise building consisting of five storey with two basement is required to be constructed with a tentative cost of Rs. 70 crores. Besides, other works have been carried out in the existing stadia along with land scaping and other external developmental works are required for completion of the whole project. The details of broad features in terms of the work are given as under:

1. Renovation. Imp./upgradation of the existing Shivaji Stadium  
– Rs. 22.00 Cores
2. New five Storey building with two basements -  
Rs 70.00 crores

Based on the discussion held in the Empowered Committee and as suggested by F.A., The Architect Consultant M/S Raja Aederi Consultant Pvt. Ltd. submitted their proposal for remuneration of the consultancy services @ 3% of the estimated cost of the balance project i.e. 70 crores as against 3.15%. The Consultancy Fee already stands approved i.e. 3.15% of the project cost (15 crores)/lumsum Rs. 50 lacs shall remain the same for the renovation of the existing stadias and other related works. It has further being intimated that the other connected works like landscaping and external works, it will be at no extra cost.

**15. Recommendation of Empowered Committee**

1. **Imp./upgradation of Talkatora Indoor Stadium.  
SH : Construction of new block and basement.**

The Empowered Committee recommends to award the work to M/S Simplex Project Ltd. (Single Tenderer) at their total negotiated tendered amount of Rs.529843780.00 which is 7.83415% above the justified cost and further recommends to place the case before the Council for consideration/approval or otherwise.

**2. To accord A/A & E/S to the above work:**

The Empowered Committee recommends to place the case before the Council for consideration and to accord A/A & E/S to the whole project of stadias to enable the Deptt to place order for award of work as against the council vide Reso No. 10(A-9) dt. 22.07.05 approved the proposal for up-gradation of NDMC stadias. Some of the detailed estimate shall be/ is being processed by the deptt., which will be placed before the Council at the time of award of work from time to time. The approximate cost of project is as under:

- a. Imp./upgradation of Talkatora Indoor Stadium – 104.01 crores
- b. Imp./upgradation of Shivaji Stadium - 100.00 crores

### 3. **Payment to Architect Consultant – Consultancy Fee :**

Considering the enhanced scope of work, the Empowered Committee recommends to place the case before the council for approval of the Consultancy Fees of the Architect Consultant, M/S Raja Aederi Consultant Pvt. Ltd. as under:

a. **Imp./upgradation of Talkatora Indoor Stadium.**

3% of the estimated cost of Rs. 78 crores or the actual cost whichever is less, with a capping fee of lumpsum amount as Rs. 2.25 crores.

This is in addition to the already awarded fee @ 3.25 %of the project cost (Rs. 15 crores)/ lumpsum amount of Rs. 50 lacs.

b. **Imp./upgradation of Shivaji Stadium.**

3% of the estimated cost of Rs. 70 crores or the actual cost whichever is less, with a capping fee of lumpsum amount as Rs. 2.00 crores.

This is in addition to the already awarded fee @ 3.15 %of the project cost (Rs. 15 crores)/ lumpsum amount of Rs. 50 lacs.

### 16. **Recommendation of the Department**

The case is placed before the Council for approval or other wise for the following. It is further clarified that due procedure/CVC guidelines have been followed in the instant case.

1. To award the work to M/s Simplex Projects Ltd., (Single Tenderer) at their total negotiated tendered amount of Rs. 52,98,43,780.00 which is 7.83415% above the justified cost.

2. To accord A/A & E/S to the whole project of stadias to enable the Deptt to place order for award of work as against the council vide Reso No. 10(A-9) dt. 22.07.05 approved the proposal for up-gradation of NDMC stadias. Some of the detailed estimate shall be/ is being processed by the deptt., which will be placed before the Council at the time of award of work from time to time for information. The approximate cost of project is as under:

a. **Imp./upgradation of Talkatora Indoor Stadium – 104.01 crores**

b. **Imp./upgradation of Shivaji Stadium - 100.00 crores**

3. Considering the enhanced scope of work, the Consultancy Fees of the Architect Consultant, M/S Raja Aederi Consultant Pvt. Ltd. may be approved as under:

a. **Imp./upgradation of Talkatora Indoor Stadium.**

3% of the estimated cost of Rs. 78 crores or the actual cost whichever is less, with a capping fee of lumpsum amount as Rs. 2.25 crores.

This is in addition to the already awarded fee @ 3.25 %of the project cost (Rs. 15 crores)/ lumpsum amount of Rs. 50 lacs.

b. Imp./upgradation of Shivaji Stadium.

3% of the estimated cost of Rs. 70 crores or the actual cost whichever is less, with a capping fee of lumpsum amount as Rs. 2.00 crores.

This is in addition to the already awarded fee @ 3.15 %of the project cost (Rs. 15 crores)/ lump sum amount of Rs. 50 lacs.

**COUNCIL'S DECISION**

Resolved by the Council that approval is accorded to the recommendation of the department, as mentioned in serial no. 1 to 3 of para 16 of the preamble on the confirmation by the department that all CVC guidelines have been adhered to in this case.

Department may initiate action in anticipation of confirmation of minutes.

It was further decided that in future, where the estimated cost of the work is more than 1 crore, tender should be published at least in 2 national English dailies and 1 each in national Hindi & Urdu dailies.

Annexure 26 pages  
16 – 41

















































Annexure ends

**ITEM NO. 02 (G-7)****1. Name of the Subject/Project**

Late Payment Surcharge Scheme for Government Departments

**2. Name of the Department/Departments concerned**

Commercial Department

**3.. Brief History of the subject/Project**

The arrears of electricity and water are recoverable from the Govt. Departments which have been accumulated to the tune of Rs.61.25 crores. The payment of these arrears is outstanding from the various Govt. Departments as per the list annexed. There is regular persuasion with the concerned departments for the clearance of the outstanding arrears by making correspondence and calling meeting with the departments. There is a regular request from the department for the waiver of surcharge and they are ready to pay the principal part without late payment surcharge.

**4. Detailed proposal on the subject/project**

The aim of the department is to recover the arrears. In Govt. Departments there is always a problem of budget and the payment gets delayed if budget is not available. The surcharge has been levied for the delay in payment. No Govt. Department is prepared to pay the late payment surcharge. In order to recover the consumption charges the proposal is put up for relax on late payment surcharge by 100% for those departments who are ready to pay the principal part in full upto 31.01.2008 i.e. within three months and if paid by 31.03.2008, 90% of the surcharge be relaxed. The proposal for the late payment surcharge scheme has been put up on the analogy of the scheme floated by Rajdhani and Yamuna on the approval of DERC and Govt. of NCTD.

**5. Financial implication of the proposed/subject**

This will enable the department to recover the long outstanding arrears against various Govt. Departments which have been reflected in Audit reports and the reasons have been given to Audit that regular pursuance is there with the departments and due to constraints from the department the recovery has not been affected. In the total arrears of 61.25 crores, it will be possible to recover 30% of the part of consumption charges.

**6. Implement schedule with timeliness for such stage including internal proceeding:**

The scheme is valid upto the close of the financial year 2007-08

**7. Comments of the Finance Department on the Subject:**

The views of Finance Department are as under:

"I have perused the views expressed by the L.A. and I agree with them."

**8. Comments of Department on comments of Finance Department:**

The department agrees with the views given by Finance Department

9. **Details of previous Council Resolution existing Law of Parliament and Assembly on the subject:**

With the purpose of the recovery of the old pending dues Council has already decided vide Resolution No.3 (XIV) dated 08.08.2002 and Resolution No.3 (G-3) dated 29.10.2004 for floating of 50% rebate scheme on late payment surcharge on the dues wherein the late payment surcharge was charged @ 3% per month compound for all the categories. Similarly the other three discoms in Delhi viz. BSES Rajdhani, NDPL & BSES Yamuna have already granted 100% waiver schemes in late payment surcharge twice in 2005 & 2006 with the consent of DERC and GoNCTD.

10. **Comments of the Law Department:**

In view of the provision of Section 56 of Electricity Act and 363 of NDMC Act, legal action may be difficult.

11. **Comments of the Department on the comments of the Law Department:**

The department agrees with the views of Law Department

12. **Recommendations:**

In view of the recommendations, the case is laid before the Council for the approval of the scheme of late payment surcharge for Govt. Departments as under:

1. If the consumption charges are paid by 31.01.2008 i.e. within three months, the surcharge is waived 100%.
2. If the consumption charges are paid by 31.03.2008, 90% of the surcharge is waived.

**COUNCIL'S DECISION**

This item is postponed.

The department has been asked to place the item again with more details about other govt. offices also.

**Annexure 1 page**

**ITEM NO. 03 (G-8)**

1. **Name of Subject/Project :** Providing of Electronic Energy Meters (Microprocessor Based) in NDMC Area.
2. **Name of Department :** COMMERCIAL

3. **BRIEF HISTORY OF THE SUBJECT :**

As per the Electricity Act, 2003, section 55, it is very clearly spelt out that the energy meters have to be installed in accordance with the Regulations to be made in this behalf by the authority (copy of relevant section of Electricity Act, 2003 placed at **Annexure –'A'**).

Delhi Electricity Regulatory Authority has issued the Delhi Electricity Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007 (**copy placed at Annexure – 'B'**) where it is very clearly reiterated that all the meters shall conform requirement as laid down in the regulations made by the authority under the Electricity Act, 2003.

The appropriate authority, Central Electricity Authority has issued Notification dated 17.3.06 (installation and operation of meters **placed at Annexure 'C'**) Regulations, 2006 under section 55, 73, 177 of Electricity Act, 2003 **where it is made mandatory that all interface meters, consumer meters and accounting meters shall be of static type.**

Accordingly, after deliberation in a meeting, it was decided to phase out the Electro-Mechanical Meters by installing static meters where new connection is installed or meter is replaced.

4. **DETAILED PROPOSAL OF THE SUBJECT/PROJECT :-**

**In the current proposal for procurement of 15000 energy meters (5000 of three phase and 10000 single phase) for replacement of defective meters and for new connections to comply with statute.**

Accordingly, a preliminary estimate amounting to Rs. 3,84,57,100/- (Rupees three crore eighty four lakh fifty seven thousand and one hundred only) was prepared for purchase/providing of 5,000 Nos. three phase and 10,000 Nos. single phase electronic energy meters. The estimate was got checked from the Planning Division of Electricity Department and forwarded to Finance Department for concurrence. Finance Department has concurred the preliminary estimate amounting to Rs. 3,84,57,100/- (Rupees three crore eighty four lakh fifty seven thousand and one hundred only) for purchase of 5,000 Nos. three phase and 10,000 Nos. single phase Electronic Energy Meters vide no. 2081/Finance dated 26.09.2007.

5. **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE PROPOSED SUBJECT/PROJECT**

The preliminary estimate amounting to Rs. 3,84,57,100/- (Rupees three crore eighty four lakh fifty seven thousand and one hundred only) has been concurred by the Finance Department. The expenditure is chargeable to the Budget Head E-4-I ('G' Miscellaneous Works) for providing and installation of Microprocessor Based Electronic Energy Meters, for which there exists a budget provision of Rs. 1,00,00,000/- in BE-2007-08 (Budget Book Item No. 81 Page No. 130). Additional provision will be kept in RE-2007-08 and BE-2008-09.

6. **IMPLIMENTATION SCHEDULE WITH TIMELINESS FOR EACH STAGE INCLUDING INTERNAL PROCESSING.**

a)	Approval of detailed estimate	15 days
b)	Approval of NIT	15 days
c)	Call of Tenders	1 Month
d)	Award of Work	2 Months
e)	Execution/Supply of energy meters	3 Months

7. **COMMENT OF THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT ON THE SUBJECT/PROJECT :-**

We concur in the estimate amounting to Rs. 3,84,57,100/- (Rupees three crore eighty four lakh fifty seven thousand and one hundred only) for purchase of 5,000 Nos. three phase and 10,000 Nos. single phase electronic energy meters as recommended by Director (Comml.) and checked by the Planning Division subject to the following conditions :

- i) Availability of funds
- ii) Approval of the Competent Authority
- iii) Correctness of information and data submitted by the Department.
- iv) The codal provision shall be adhered for execution of work.
- v) The amount in the estimate form be got corrected before seeking approval of competent authority.

8. **COMMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT ON COMMENTS OF FINANCE DEPARTMENT.**

- i) A provision of Rs. 1,00,00,000/- exists in BE-2007-08. Additional provision will be kept in RE-2007-08 and BE-2008-09.
- ii) The work will be executed after approval of Competent Authority.
- iii) The information and data supplied is correct.
- iv) Prescribed procedure as per codal formalities will be adopted in the execution of the subject/project.
- v) The amount in the estimate form is corrected as Rs. 3,84,57,100/-.

9. **LEGAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE SUBJECT :-**

As per Central Electricity Authority notification dated 17.03.2006, Sub-Head-4, all interface meters, consumer meters & energy accounting and audit meter shall be of static type.

10. **DETAILS OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL RESOLUTION EXISTING LAW OF PARLIAMENT AND ASSEMBLY ON THE SUBJECT :**

Nil

11. **COMMENTS OF THE LAW DEPARTMENT ON THE SUBJECT :**

We concur the proposal.

12. **COMMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT ON THE COMMENTS OF THE LAW DEPARTMENT :**

Nil

13. **RECOMMENDATIONS :**

The case is placed before the council for consideration and accord of administrative approval and expenditure sanction to the preliminary estimate amounting to Rs. 3,84,57,100/- (Rupees three crore eighty four lakh fifty seven thousand and one hundred only) for procurement of 15,000 static type energy meters (10,000 single phase & 5,000 three phase).

14. **DRAFT RESOLUTION :**

Council has resolved that proposal for approval and expenditure sanction to the preliminary estimate amounting to Rs. 3,84,57,100/- (Rupees three crore eighty four lakh fifty seven thousand and one hundred only) for procurement of 15,000 static type energy meters (10,000 single phase & 5,000 three phase) is approved.

**COUNCIL'S DECISION**

Resolved by the Council that administrative approval and expenditure sanction to the preliminary estimate amounting to Rs.3,84,57,100/-, for procurement of 15,000 static type energy meters (10,000 single phase & 5,000 three phase) is accorded.

Annexure 26 pages

48 – 73

















































Annexure ends

**ITEM NO. 04 (H-10)****1. NAME OF THE SUBJECT**

**Implementation of office order of Delhi Transco Ltd regarding waiving off the condition for promotion up to 2<sup>nd</sup> level for grant of 3<sup>rd</sup> T.B.P scale w.e.f. 1.7.06.**

**2. NAME OF THE DEPARTMENT**

**Personnel Department**

**3. BRIEF HISTORY OF THE SUBJECT**

The Council vide Reso. NO. 3 (iii) dt. 17.7.01 decided to grant 3<sup>rd</sup> T.B.P scale to the employees of N.D.M.C. covered by SS scales on Delhi Vidyut Board pattern to all the ministerial / subordinate employees below the rank of AE(E) w.e.f. 1.4.94 having completed 26 years service in all from the date of their entry into service at the base level on regular basis as per Recruitment Rules in their own right to the 2<sup>nd</sup> T.B.P scale. The other terms and conditions for grant of the said benefit was the same as mentioned in the o.o. no. EE-I/850/SA-iii dt. 6.4.99 relating to 2<sup>nd</sup> T.B.P. scale.

Accordingly the 3<sup>rd</sup> T.B.P. scale is being given only to those employees who fulfill the condition of their appointment on regular basis as per RRs in their own right to the 2<sup>nd</sup> T.B.P. scale.

The Delhi Transco Ltd. Vide their o.o. DTL/1010/HR(A&G)/2007/173 dt. 10.07.07 placed at P-703/c, has waived off the condition of promotion for regular basis for grant of 3<sup>rd</sup> T.B.P scale in r/o Ministerial /Subordinate staff who are in service below the rank of Assistant Engineer i.e. B, C, & D employees from 1.07.06 for making their employees entitled for the said benefit. Since the N.D.M.C. has been following the erstwhile Delhi Vidyut Board) for grant of SS scale and T.B.P. scales to its employees governed by SS scales the O.O. no, DTL/1010/HR(A&G)/2007/173 dt. 10.07.07 issued by the Dy. Manager Admd.(G) is to be made suo-motto applicable to N.D.M.C. employees who are eligible for getting the 3<sup>rd</sup> T.B.P. scale on completion of 26 years service.

The case was referred to the Finance department to concur in the proposal of the department to implement the o.o. issued by the Delhi Transco Ltd applicable for N.D.M.C. employees also, so as to grant 3<sup>rd</sup> T.B.P scale in the 26 years service from the date of their entry into base level on regular basis without insisting for appointment in their own right to the 2<sup>nd</sup> T.B.P scale. The case was examined by the Finance department at P-215/N and certain observations were made inter-alia asking the department to obtain the copy of the Delhi Transco Ltd orders duly authenticated and also seeking legal opinion from the Law department for implementation of the above referred o.o. issued by the Delhi Transco Ltd for N.D.M.C. employees.

Accordingly, the case was referred to the Law department for seeking their opinion on the above subject. The observations of the Law department recorded on P-217/N may kindly be seen. As desired by the Law department the clarification has been sought from BSES and NDPL they are following the same procedure as done by the DTL. The Law department has also recorded that this is purely administrative matter and this may be decided at their own level as there is no legal point is involved. As per the advice of the Law department the necessary information has been sought from the BSES and NDPL, placed at P-699/cand P-713/c respectively. They have stated that the condition of appointment in their own right upto 2<sup>nd</sup> T.B.P scale has also been waived off for granting the 3<sup>rd</sup> T.B.P scale to their employees working in their organization on completion of 26 years service..

In view of the clarification sought from BSES and NDPL and as per the observations of the Law department the case was again referred to the Finance department for their concurrence in the proposal for waiving off the condition of promotion up to 2<sup>nd</sup> level for earning T.B.P. scale on completion of 26 years service as on 1.7.06 for N.D.M.C. employees comprising the B', C' & D categories governed by SS scales on the pattern of DTL, BSES and NDPL. The Finance department vide their note at P-221/N have no objection to the proposal of the department if the stipulation of the 2<sup>nd</sup> promotion on regular basis for grant of 3<sup>rd</sup> T.B.P scale is removed for the N.D.M.C. employees governed in SS scales. However, their concurrence is subject to approval of the competent authority. As regard the rationalization of the pay scale as asked for by the Finance department, the matter shall be taken up separately.

#### **4. DETAILED PROPOSAL ON THE SUBJECT**

The Chairman has approved the proposal of the department to remove the condition of promotion up to 2<sup>nd</sup> level in their own right for grant of 3<sup>rd</sup> T.B.P. Now, the case is submitted to the Council for consideration and decision in the matter.

#### **5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE PROPOSED SUBJECT**

The Financial implications in removing the condition of promotion up to 2<sup>nd</sup> level can not be worked out at this stage because of a large nos. of categories are involved for the said benefit.

#### **6. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE WITH TIMELINESS FOR EACH STAGE INCULDING INTERNAL PROCESSING**

Implementation schedule with timeliness for each stage including internal processing will be taken to implement the decisions for grant of 3<sup>rd</sup> T.B.P scale on completion of 26 years service as on 1.7.06 subject to completion of codal formalities.

#### **7. COMMENTS OF THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT ON THE SUBJECT**

The Finance department has already concurred in the proposal of the department to implement the Delhi Transco Ltd. o.o. no. DTL/1010/HR(A&G)/2007/173 dt. 10.7.07 relating to removal of condition regarding actual promotion upto 2<sup>nd</sup> level in their own right on grant of 3<sup>rd</sup> T.B.P scale as on 1.7.06

#### **8. COMMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT ON COMMENTS OF FINANCE DEPARTMENT**

No Comments

#### **9. LEGAL IMPLICATION OF THE SUBJECT**

No legal implication involved in the proposal of the department.

**10. DETAILS OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS, EXISTING LAW OF PARLIAMENT AND ASSEMBLY ON THE SUBJECT**

The Council vide reso no 3(iii) dt. 17.7.01. have already grant the 3<sup>rd</sup> T.B.P scale to their employees governed by the SS scales on completion of 26 years service from the date of their induction and acquiring actual promotion up to 2<sup>nd</sup> level in their own right as per Recruitment Rules for entitlement of 3<sup>rd</sup> T.B.P scale with other condition remained unchanged which were earlier mentioned in the o.o. no. SO(EE-I)/850/SC-III dt. 6.4.99.

**11. COMMENTS OF THE LAW DEPARTMENT ON THE COMMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT**

The Law department have already seen the case and as desired by them the clarification from other wings of of erstwhile Delhi Vidyut Board namely BSES and NDPL have already been obtained and confirmed that the Delhi Transco Ltd. o.o. no. DTL/1010/HR(A&G)/2007/173 dt. 10.7.07 have also been implemented for their employees.

**12. COMMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT ON THE COMMENTS OF THE LAW DEPARTMENT**

No comments.

**13. RECOMMENDATION**

Matter is put up before the council for consideration and decision regarding implementation of the Delhi Transco Ltd. o.o. no. DTL/1010/HR(A&G)/2007/173 dt. 10.7.07 inter alia removing the condition of promotion upto 2<sup>nd</sup> level for grant of 3<sup>rd</sup> Time bound promotion scale w.e.f 1.07.06.

**COUNCIL'S DECISION**

Resolved by the Council to implement the Delhi Transco. Ltd. Office Order No. DTL/1010/HR(A&G) /2007/173 dt. 10.07.2007 inter-alia waiving off the condition of promotion upto 2<sup>nd</sup> level, for grant of 3<sup>rd</sup> Time bound promotion scale w.e.f 01.07.2006.

**ITEM NO. 05 (H-11)****1. NAME OF THE SUBJECT:**

**Compassionate appointment as per DOPT, Govt. of India's guidelines.**

**2. NAME OF THE DEPARTMENT :**

**Personnel Department.**

**3. BRIEF HISTORY OF THE SUBJECT:**

NDMC has adopted the Govt. of India's guidelines on compassionate appointment and the same are being strictly followed. The limit of the quota of appointment on compassionate grounds is 5%. This quota is being calculated and all compassionate appointment cases, after being examined by the Social Welfare Department, are put up before the Sub-committee. A seniority list is prepared and appointment is offered according to seniority.

**4. DETAILED PROPOSAL ON THE SUBJECT:**

Council has already adopted DOPT, Govt. of India's guidelines on compassionate appointment in its meeting held on 13.10.2006 (**Annexure-A**). In the said meeting the Council approved that seniority is to be strictly maintained while giving offer of appointment. However, it has been felt that the preference is not being given in cases where death of the employee is due to accident during the performance of duty. A Committee was constituted by the Chairperson to look into the cases of compassionate appointments and the Committee has recommended that the cases where death of an employee is due to accident during the performance of duty, preference should be given to the family of the deceased while offering letter of appointment. These cases should be separated from the general cases of compassionate appointments where seniority is the main criteria for the appointment. The Committee further recommended that the matter may be placed before the Council to consider amendments in the earlier resolution to give priority in compassionate appointments where death is due to accident while performing duty. Recommendations of the Committee are placed at **Annexure-B**.

**5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE PROPOSED SUBJECT:**

It has no financial implication. Budget provision for sanctioned posts already exists.

**6. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE WITH TIMELINESS FOR EACH STAGE INCLUDING INTERNAL PROCESSING:**

Such cases will be decided as and when there are vacancies and Committee meets to consider cases of compassionate appointments.

**7. COMMENTS OF THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT ON THE SUBJECT:**

No comments.

**8. COMMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT ON COMMENTS OF FINANCE DEPARTMENT:**

No comments

9. **LEGAL IMPLICATION OF THE SUBJECT:**

No legal implications.

10. **DETAILS OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS, EXISTING LAW OF PARLIAMENT AND ASSEMBLY ON THE SUBJECT:**

Resolution No. 3(i) dated 28.8.2001.  
Resolution No. 9(H-4) dated 13.10.2006.

11. **COMMENTS OF THE LAW DEPARTMENT ON THE SUBJECT:**

Law Department has concurred the proposal.

12. **COMMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT ON THE COMMENTS OF THE LAW DEPARTMENT.**

No comments.

13. **RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that Resolution no. 9(H-4) dated 13.10.2006 be amended to the extent that preference be given in appointments on compassionate grounds to the cases where death of an employee has occurred due to accident during the performance of duty.

14. **DRAFT RESOLUTION:**

Resolved by the Council that amendment/modification in Resolution No.9(H-4) dated 13.10.2006 to the extent that preference be given in appointments on compassionate grounds to the cases where death of an employee has occurred due to accident during the performance of duty and such cases are to be separately examined and office letter is to be issued immediately after examining the case, is approved.

**COUNCIL'S DECISION**

Resolved by the Council that amendment/modification in Resolution No.9(H-4) dated 13.10.2006 is approved to the extent that preference be given in appointments on compassionate grounds to the cases where death of an employee has occurred due to accident/professional hazards encountered during the performance of official duty and such cases are to be separately examined and office letter is to be issued immediately after examining the case.

Annexure 6 pages

79 – 84









Annexure ends

**ITEM NO. 06 (A-48)****1. Name of the Project:**

Improvement to Community Centre, Golf Link.  
SH: Construction of an additional floor on the existing building of Community Centre, Golf Link.

**2. Name of the Department concern:**

Civil Engineering Department

**3. Brief History of the Project:**

Time and again R.W.A Golf Link has been requesting for additional floor on the existing building of Community Centre. Hon'ble Vice Chairperson, NDMC, Smt. Tajdar Babar has taken a meeting of senior officials from the various department of NDMC along with R.W.A Golf Link on 25.7.2006 in which the above matter was discussed in detail. (Minutes of the Meeting placed in the file). Accordingly, the proposal of feasibility of additional floor alongwith formation of Sub Committee was sent to Chairperson for approval and after approval a Sub Committee was constituted having its members Sh. N.N. Sharma, S.E.(BM-II), Shri R.S. Thakur, SE(PH), Sh. A.K. Pathak, E.E.(Planning), Shri K.K. Tyagi, E.E.(BM-III).

Accordingly in the meeting of the Sub Committee held on 26.4.2007 in the room of S.E.(BM-II), the Project Report was discussed in detail for the construction of additional floor. Recommendation of Sub Committee inter-alia reads as under:-

"The Community Centre, Golf Link was constructed way back in the year 1970 and provided with limited facilities at that time which are not meeting with requirement of present day status of the area. Resident Welfare Association and residents are continuously stressing time and again to provide present day facilities/games like Health & Fitness Centre, Billiards etc. Keeping in view the importance of the area where dignitaries of high level are residing and justification of their requirements, Sub Committee after going through all the aspects as mentioned above and scarcity of space in the locality, recommends that additional floor be provided with light weight roof as suggested by Architect Deptt. and the Civil Engg. Deptt. should go ahead with the scheme."

The project report was submitted to the Chairman and forwarded to FA for its concurrence.

**4. Detailed proposal on the Subject / Project:**

Preliminary Estimate amounting to Rs.113 lacs framed for Improvement to Community Centre, Golf Link SH: Construction of additional floor on the existing building of Community Centre, Golf Link. will have the following scope of work:

A set of Architectural drawings, Job No. 214, Drawing No. CA290-294 has been received from C.A. unit in respect of 'Const. of additional floor' with the provision of Hall, Office, Entrance lobby, room and toilets, fitness centre, Billiards room etc.

This Preliminary Estimate has been framed based on PAR 1992 + 123% C.I +5% contingencies and the scope of work shall be kept as per the architectural drawings issued by C.A. Deptt.

5. **Financial implications of the proposed Projects / Subject:**

Preliminary cost of the Project is Rs.113 lacs.

6. Implementations schedule with timelines for each stage including internal processing:

P.E. amounting to Rs.113 lacs for accord of A/A & E/S by the council in the next Council Meeting.

D.E. & NIT	- 8 weeks
Call of Tenders	- 4 weeks
Award of Work	- 8 weeks
Completion of work	- 8 months

7. **Comments of the Finance Department on the subject:**

Finance Department have no objection to the P.E. amounting to Rs.113 lacs for the proposed work provided it is ensured and certified that

- a. Proposed work be taken as Plan scheme and availability of adequate Plan funds
- b. ensuring and certifying structural stability/soundness of the existing building while according technical sanction to the scheme as the major additions/alterations are being proposed in the existing structure
- c. approval of Project Report by E-in-C and
- d. reviewing the charges being received from Welfare Association for using the said Community Centre to equalize/minimize the expenditure incurred on improvement/maintenance of the Community Centre in question.

8. **Comments of the Department on the comments of Finance Department:**

- a. The funds for the above said scheme has already been sought under Plan scheme.
- b. This Preliminary Estimate has been framed based on PAR 1992 + 123% C.I+5% contingencies and the scope of work shall be kept as per the architectural drawings issued by C.A. Deptt. However, it will be ensured and certified that structural stability/soundness of the existing building will be as per the laid down norms while according technical sanction to the scheme as the major additions/alterations are being proposed in the existing structure
- c. Approval of E-N-C with regard to the project report exists at NP-12 dt. 5.7.07
- d) A separate case is being sent to the Estate Deptt. as per the observation of Finance and License fee will be enhanced according to the expenditure incurred on this improvement and the enhancement will be made with the prior recommendations of the Estate Deptt. and approval of the Finance Department.

9. **Legal implications of the Subject / Project:**

- Not applicable -

10. **Details of previous Council Resolution, existing law of Parliament & Assembly on the subject:**

- Not applicable -

11. **Comments of the Law Department on the Subject / Project:**

Comments of the Law Deptt. were sought and the same are as under:-

"No legal point is involved at this stage. However, this has our concurrence for placing before the council. "

12. **Comments of the Department on the comments of the Law Deptt.:**

No comments.

13. **Recommendations:**

P.E. amounting to Rs.113 lacs for Improvement to Community Centre, Golf Link  
SH: Construction of an additional floor on the existing building of Community Centre, Golf Link approved by the Project Sub Committee and duly concurred in by Finance is now recommended for accord of approval of the Council.

14. **Draft Resolution:**

Resolved by the Council that A/A & E/S is accorded for Preliminary Estimate amounting to Rs.113 lacs (Rs. One hundred thirteen lacs) for Improvement to Community Centre, Golf Link  
SH: Construction of an additional floor on the existing building of Community Centre, Golf Link

**COUNCIL'S DECISION**

Resolved by the Council that administrative approval and expenditure sanction is accorded for Preliminary Estimate, amounting to Rs.113 lacs, for Improvement to Community Centre, Golf Link SH: Construction of an additional floor on the existing building of Community Centre, Golf Link.

Further resolved by the Council that NDMC may fund the project and be re-appropriated on the availability of Plan Funds, it being a Plan Scheme.

**ITEM NO. 07 (C-36)****1. NAME OF THE SUBJECT**

Procurement of Computers, Printers & Servers etc.

**2. NAME OF THE DEPARTMENT**

General Administration Department

**3. BRIEF HISTORY OF THE SUBJECT**

Consequent upon switching over to e-Governance, a number of requests have been received from various departments/officers/sections for providing new computers/printers and Servers etc. Accordingly a circular was issued on 27.2.2007 for obtaining requirement/replacement of computers.

**4. DETAILED PROPOSAL ON THE SUBJECT**

In response to the circular dated 27.2.2007, requirements were received from different departments and the case processed for procurement of Computer and its peripherals. The present case was processed for procurement of 283 printers, 200 Laserjet Printers, 02 Colour Printers, 02 Line Matrix printers, 02 - 1022n Printers, Servers and Work Station at an estimated cost of Rs.142,14,792/- which was concurred in by the Finance Department. Subsequently, prior to further processing for procurement of these items, the configuration of Servers was changed on the recommendations of Director (IT) which resulted into increase in the project cost by Rs.34,87,104/-. Hence the proposal was again referred to Finance Department for concurrence of revised estimates. The Finance Department after re-examining the case has concurred for the following items:-

S.NO.	ITEM	QTY.	RATE	AMOUNT
1.	Desktops (200+35)	235	33482	78,68,270
2.	Laserjet Printers (No. 1022 (109+35))	144	7426	10,69,344
3.	Colour Printers	02	18590	37,180
4.	Line Matrix Printers	02	1,28,315	2,56,630
5.	Printers 1022 N	02	15653	31,306
6.	Work Station W 4	01	99440	99,440
7.	1 Blade Enclosure + 1 Blade Server	02	6,84,555	13,69,110
8.	Blade Server Configuration BL 20P G4	14	2,55,100	35,71,400
9.	RAM-2 GB Modules	32	35,867	11,47,744
10.	2X144 GBHDD in lieu of 2x72 GBHDD	16	14,280	2,28,480
			<b>Total</b>	<b>1,56,78,904</b>

(Plus administrative charges @ 7% and other taxes and duties as applicable)

**5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

A sum of Rs.1,56,78,904/- (Rupees one crore fifty six lac seventy eight thousand and nine hundred four only) Plus administrative charges @ 7% and other taxes and duties as applicable is involved.

**6. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE WITH TIMELINESS FOR EACH STAGE INCLUDING INTERNAL PROCESSING**

Three months from the date of placing of Supply Order with NICSI.

**7. COMMENTS OF THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT**

Finance Department has concurred in the proposal subject to the following:-

1. Approval of the Competent Authority
2. Availability of Funds under Head of A/c C.15.4
3. Correctness of data, information and computations
4. To take expeditiously action for replacement of 03 P-IV/P-III to ensure optimum use of the existing computers to be replaced with new one.
5. To ensure that the hardware is issued to the departments to the justified level and the saved hardware are issued to those departments where there exists an urgent and justified requirement, though their names do not appear in the current list.
6. During one of the meetings, the Chairman observed that IT related equipment should be purchased by IT Department.

**8. COMMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT ON THE COMMENTS OF FINANCE DEPARTMENT**

Point wise comments are as follows:-

1	Administrative approval of the competent authority has already been obtained.
2	Sufficient funds are available under the Head of A/c C.15.4 and request for additional fund has already been made in the RE by the IT Department.
3	Data and computations are correct.
4 & 5	The suggestion of Finance has been noted for appropriate action in due course.
6	As per existing order No. 715/SO(G)/D dated 2.8.2005, issued with the approval of the Chairperson, purchase of computers is to be made by the General Administration Department.

**9. LEGAL IMPLICATION OF THE SUBJECT**

There is no legal implication involved in the matter.

**10. DETAILS OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL RESOLUTIONS, EXISTING LAW OF PARLIAMENT & ASSEMBLY ON THE SUBJECT.**

None.

**11. COMMENTS OF THE LAW DEPARTMENT**

Law Department has no objection to the proposal.

**12. COMMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT ON THE COMMENTS OF THE LAW DEPARTMENT**

No comments required.

**13. RECOMMENDATIONS**

There is an acute shortage of computers and its peripherals in the Council particularly after introduction of e-Governance/e-Project scheme. It is, therefore, recommended that for smooth functioning of the Council and in the larger interest of general public, the computers and its peripherals be procured on top priority basis.

**14. DRAFT RESOLUTION**

Resolved by the Council that the proposal contained in the agenda for procurement of Computers and related items as mentioned in Para 4 of the Agenda be procured at an estimated cost of Rs.1,56,78,904/- from NICS I with the permission to deposit 90% advance payment to NICS I. Supply order may be placed by the department in anticipation of confirmation of minutes by the Council in its next meeting.

**COUNCIL'S DECISION**

Resolved by the Council that administrative approval and expenditure sanction, at an estimated cost of Rs.1,56,78,904/-, is accorded for procurement of Computers and related items from NICS I, as mentioned in Para 4 of the preamble, with the permission to deposit 90% advance payment to NICS I.

Further resolved by the Council to place the Supply order, in anticipation of confirmation of the minutes.

**ITEM NO. 08 (B-30)****Sub: Providing Consultancy services for SCADA System in NDMC area for 66/33KV Electric Supply Distribution System.**

Council accorded approval to the estimate amounting to Rs.13.16 crores for the work of estimate to provide SCADA/DMS (Supervisory Control and data acquisition/distribution management system) in NDMC electricity distribution system, vide item NO.25(B-15) dated 18-7-07.

For speedy execution of the works for **Providing Consultancy services for SCADA System in NDMC area for 66/33KV Electric Supply Distribution System**, Chairman NDMC alongwith other officers of NDMC discussed with CMD M/s. PGCIL & his team on 25-7-07 in the office of M/s. PGCIL and after discussion with M/s. PGCIL agreed to undertake this work for NDMC as a special case for its importance in Delhi. It was followed by visit of NDMC team to PGCIL office on 1-8-07. Another meeting was held with PGCIL on 3-8-07. The total estimated cost of the work to be executed by M/s. PGCIL is Rs.13.16crores.

Thereafter, Draft Agreement was received from M/s. PGCIL. The copy of the Draft Agreement received is placed at **Annexure "A" (See pages 92 - 102)**.

The Draft Agreement was sent to Finance Deptt. for their considered concurrence. The finance gave their remarks as at **Annexure "B" (See pages 103 )**. The department's clarifications are at **Annexure "C" (See pages 104)**.

The comments of the Law Deptt. are placed at **Annexure "D" (See pages 105)**.

Thereafter, with the approval of Chairperson, NDMC dated 31-10-07, discussions were held with M/s. PGCIL for Turnkey execution of system strengthening in NDMC area by the team of NDMC officers with FA (in Chair), CE(E), CE(C), SEE(Plg.), EE(Plg.), Dy. F.A., Addl. L.O. with representatives of M/s. PGCIL. The note of negotiations carried out appears at **Annexure "E" (See pages 106 - 107)**. The discussions are yet to be confirmed in writing by M/s. PGCIL.

**Remarks of Chief Engineer (E)**

The case is placed before the Council for according approval to enter into an formal MOU with M/s PGCIL providing consultancy services for SCADA System in NDMC area for 66/33KV Electric Supply Distribution System.

**COUNCIL'S DECISION**

Resolved by the Council that the NDMC may enter into a formal MOU with M/s. PGCIL, for providing consultancy services for SCADA System in NDMC area for 66/33KV Electric Supply Distribution System and Chairperson is authorized to nominate an officer to sign the MOU on behalf of NDMC.

Department may initiate action in anticipation of confirmation of minutes.

**ANNEUXRES 16 PAGES**  
**92 – 107**





























**ANEXURE ENDS**

**ITEM NO. 9 (B-31)****Sub: Turnkey execution of system strengthening in NDMC area.**

For speedy execution of the long pending works relating to strengthening of electricity supply in NDMC area, Chairman NDMC alongwith other officers of NDMC discussed with CMD M/s. PGCIL & his team on 25-7-07 in the office of M/s. PGCIL and after discussion with M/s. PGCIL agreed to undertake this work for NDMC as a special case for its importance in Delhi. It was followed by visit of NDMC team to PGCIL office on 1-8-07. Another meeting was held with PGCIL on 3-8-07. Accordingly, the case was placed before the Council and in principle approval was granted to get these works executed through M/s. PGCIL on nomination basis on cost plus basis in its Special Meeting held on 29-8-07. The total estimated cost of the work to be executed by M/s. PGCIL is Rs.178.0432crores.

Thereafter, Draft Agreement was received from M/s. PGCIL. The copy of the Draft Agreement received is placed at **Annexure "A" (See pages 109 -124 )**.

The Draft Agreement was sent to Finance Deptt. for their considered concurrence. The finance gave their remarks as at **Annexure "B" (See pages 125)**. The department's clarifications are at **Annexure "C" (See pages 126 - 128)**.

The comments of the Law Deptt. are placed at **Annexure "D" (See pages 129)**.

Thereafter, with the approval of Chairperson, NDMC dated 31-10-07, discussions were held with M/s. PGCIL for Turnkey execution of system strengthening in NDMC area by the team of NDMC officers with FA (in Chair), CE(E), CE(C), SEE(Plg.), EE(Plg.), Dy. F.A., Addl. L.O. with representatives of M/s. PGCIL. The note of negotiations carried out appears at **Annexure "E" (See pages 130 - 131)**. The discussions are yet to be confirmed in writing by M/s. PGCIL.

**Remarks of Chief Engineer (E)**

The case is placed before the Council for according approval to enter into an formal MOU with M/s PGCIL for turnkey execution of works relating to strengthening of the electricity supply system in NDMC area.

**COUNCIL'S DECISION**

Resolved by the Council that the NDMC may enter into a formal MOU with M/s PGCIL, for turnkey execution of works, relating to strengthening of the electricity supply system in NDMC area and Chairperson is authorized to nominate an officer to sign the MOU, on behalf of NDMC.

Department may initiate action in anticipation of confirmation of minutes.

**Annexure 23 pages**

**109 – 131 pages**











































**Annexure ends**

**ITEM NO. 10 (C-37)****CORRIGENDUM****AMENDMENT IN MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL MEETING NO.09/2007-08, HELD THROUGH CIRCULATION ON 11.10.2007.**

In the Council's Special Meeting No. 09/2007-08 held through circulation, an item regarding "Extension of Tolstoy Marg from Janpath to Parliament Street, New Delhi. Payment of compensation of land." was placed vide Item no. 01(A-36).

The decision of the Council was recorded as under :-

*"Resolved by the Council to withdraw the SLP and **handover** 0.83 acres of land covered by decree of the Court and move for acquisition u/s 4 & 17 of Land Acquisition Act.*

*It is further resolved that the Chairperson be authorized to apprise the Hon'ble Court of the above decision in anticipation of the confirmation of the minutes."*

It is proposed to amend the above decision / Resolution by substituting the word 'handover' by the word 'acquire' and the same may be read as under :

*"Resolved by the Council to withdraw the SLP and **acquire** 0.83 acres of land covered by decree of the Court and move for acquisition u/s 4 & 17 of Land Acquisition Act.*

*It is further resolved that the Chairperson be authorized to apprise the Hon'ble Court of the above decision in anticipation of the confirmation of the minutes."*

The Corrigendum is placed before the Council for approval of amending the minutes of the Council Meeting No.09/2007-08 held through circulation on 11.10.2007, as proposed above.

**COUNCIL'S DECISION**

Resolved by the Council that the minutes of the Council's Meeting No. 09/2007-08 held through circulation dated 11/10/2007, be amended and the decision of the Council be read as under :

*"Resolved by the Council to withdraw the SLP and **acquire** 0.83 acres of land covered by decree of the Court and move for acquisition u/s 4 & 17 of Land Acquisition Act.*

*It is further resolved that the Chairperson be authorized to apprise the Hon'ble Court of the above decision in anticipation of the confirmation of the minutes."*

Further resolved by the Council that the Department may apprise the Hon'ble Court of the above amendment in anticipation of the confirmation of the minutes.

**ITEM NO. 11 (E-5)****SCHEME FOR DOOR-TO-DOOR COLLECTION OF GARBAGE.****INTRODUCTION:-**

Solid Waste Management of the area is the prime function of the Municipality. NDMC has given the utmost importance to this function by providing best possible methods and means. NDMC being a VVIP area where the Ministerial staff along with big dignitaries (National and International) resides as well as a lot of them visits regularly needs the special thrust and emphasis in this aspect. The transportation and disposal of Solid Waste has been strengthened from time to time and huge garbage is taken away from NDMC area everyday. Even the garbage is removed on Sunday, Holidays and even in odd hours. Although adequate staff, equipments and vehicles have been deployed with conservancy articles yet the residents especially in the residential colonies are throwing garbage indiscriminately. Thus we are not able to achieve that standard of sanitation, which is expected to compare with other metro cities of the developed countries.

To achieve the goal of NDMC sanitation of international standard, it is necessary to introduce such a system that all the residents do not litter here and there but deposit garbage in the designated bins. It becomes mandatory to segregate garbage in a biodegradable and non-biodegradable manner and also deposit it in the designated bins for the purpose so that the municipal vehicles may take them separately for final disposal. Thus health department NDMC has made a proposal for door to door collection of garbage in its area to combat the problem as well as follow Solid Waste (Management and Handling) Rules 2000.

As per the recommendations in the manual of Solid Waste Management prepared by Ministry of Urban Development, Govt. of India which are: -

**“The local body may also encourage NGO/private sector to collect both food/biodegradable waste as well as recyclable waste from the door steps on their own by making direct contractual arrangement with the residence association/commercial complexes to reduce their financial burden.”**

The NDMC has chalked out a program to introduce **DOOR TO DOOR COLLECTION OF GARBAGE SCHEME** in NDMC area. Slowly and slowly NDMC has introduced this system at 51 places in its area. (List attached at **Annexure**) Evaluating the success of this system NDMC envisage introducing door-to-door garbage collection in its entire area.

**SYSTEM: -**

NDMC has contacted all the Resident Welfare Associations and Market Trade Associations by organizing a workshop on door-to-door collection of garbage. In this workshop three NGOs proposed by Ministry of Environment, Govt. of NCT of Delhi, presented their program of implementation of this activity in NDMC area. Evaluating their resources, NDMC has planned to give certain areas to them to carry out this activity. In lieu of it NDMC will help them by providing some equipments. There will be mutual understanding between the NGO and the Resident Welfare Associations and Market Trade Associations. The present system of collection of garbage will not be disturbed but door to door garbage collection will be an additional activity to curb the menace of indiscriminate throwing of garbage. NDMC proposes to implement this scheme in its entire area.

**PRESENT STATUS:-**

Door to door garbage collection in NDMC is being done at 51 places through NGOs, RWAs as well as some staff of NDMC. Although collection of garbage is being done but it is not as per the enumerated guidelines in MSW Rules 2000 (areas where door to door garbage collection is being done- list attached). To give it a scientific and proper way, NDMC envisages to start this activity in a pilot project manner so that the same can be replicated to all over the NDMC area after observing the success of the project.

**PROPOSED PLAN:-**

It was proposed last year to expand this activity of door-to-door collection of garbage in whole NDMC area. For this names of NGOs were asked from Ministry of Environment and Forest, Govt. of NCT of Delhi. The Ministry sponsored for five NGOs. In January 2006 a workshop on door-to-door collection of garbage was organized at India Habitat Centre in joint collaboration with the **Energy Research Institute (TERI)**. In this workshop all the RWAs and MTAs of NDMC area along with the sponsored NGOs from Govt. of NCT of Delhi were invited. Only three NGOs had participated and submitted their presentations about their action plan to be implemented in NDMC area on the guidelines of MSW Rules 2000 and only one presentation was found to be satisfactory.

NDMC had convened a meeting with the representatives of the three NGOs namely Chintan, Action for Green Earth and Sarvodaya International on 14-07-2006 to further intensify door to door garbage collection programme. After that a meeting of the sub-committee for the purpose as approved by Chairperson on P/N-2 was held on 28-07-2006. The sub-committee decided the distribution of area to the three NGOs as well as other modalities enlisted on P/N-21, 22 & 23.

A draft MOU was prepared by taking the help of an MOU between an NGO and Faridabad Municipality. The MOU was shown to Law Department, which has some reservations regarding this MOU. They have mentioned that door-to-door collection of garbage is not the responsibility of NDMC as per NDMC Act 1994. The matter was discussed at large in various meetings and it was transpired from the meetings that NDMC should carryout ways and means to do door to door collection of garbage in its area as per Solid Waste (Management & Handling) Rules 2000.

A meeting on this subject was again held on 16-05-2007 in the council room where the following were present:-

1. Chairperson ----- in Chair
2. Financial Advisor
3. CVO/ Secretary, NDMC
4. Legal Advisor
5. Engineer – in – Chief
6. MOH
7. Director (Proj.)
8. Epidemiologist & I/C Sanitation

In the above meeting it was decided that Health Department should make a proposal to implement door to door collection of garbage in whole of NDMC area keeping in view of the recommendations under MSW (Management & Handling) Rules 2000 following proposal is made:-

**PILOT PROJECT:**

Although NGOs are carrying out door-to-door collection of garbage in some areas but it is not as per the desired level and standard. To begin with, we may start this activity (to start with) in the organized colonies as a pilot project. The colonies proposed are: -

- 1 Ravinder Nagar (D-I Flats & RBI Flats),
- 2 Bapa Nagar (C-I & C-II),
- 3 Kaka Nagar (D-II Flats, NDMC Flats & Red Cross Society Flats),
- 4 Bharti Nagar (D-I Flats),
- 5 Tilak Lane (C-I, C-II & AB Flats),
- 6 Moti Bagh (D-II, C-II Flats)

As discussed in the meeting with Chairperson, NDMC and representative of Chintan (NGO), it was decided that NGO - Chintan will carry out this activity (to start with) as per the decisions taken which are as under: -

**NGO's RESPONSIBILITY:-**

The NGO i.e. Chintan shall be allowed to carry this activity in the above said residential colonies through its sanitation volunteers who will be properly dressed having police verification and identity cards displayed. The volunteers will also have protective measures provided by the NGO. The NGO shall be liable to contact with the RWA and prepare an MOU with them. The NGO and RWA may settle any service charges but not more than Rs. 50/- per household to provide this service.

1. NGO will provide the manpower and equipments except vehicles for collection of garbage from every household. They will also provide uniform and identity card to the workers tentatively named as sanitation volunteer. They will remain the liability of NGO only.
2. NGO will make an MOU with RWA for door-to-door collection of garbage and will be allowed to make an agreement with the RWA to get some remuneration for this service which shall not exceed more than Rs. 50/- per household.
3. NGO will not disturb any other worker privately engaged by the household rather will try to fit them in their own system.

**NDMC's RESPONSIBILITY**

1. NDMC will give an official permission to the NGO to carry out this activity at the mentioned areas.
2. NDMC will provide two bins (one blue and one green) to the entire household initially free of cost. Afterward that will be the responsibility of the householder to maintain them.
3. NDMC will provide adequate number of tricycle rickshaws to the NGOs as per the requirement of the area (roughly one rickshaw per 200 houses) free of cost.
4. NDMC will allow the sanitation volunteer of the NGO to enter in the garbage station for deposition and segregating of garbage.

To make aware about this plan the RWAs/MTAs were invited in an interactive session held on 28-07-07 at the community centre Jor Bagh. The Hon'ble Chief Minister of Delhi and Chairperson and Members of NDMC addressed the representative of RWAs and MTAs. The representatives of RWAs/MTAs and were categorically informed about their role & responsibilities, NDMC's responsibility and NGOs role/responsibilities in the door-to-door garbage collection programme. Also a number of meetings were held with the Inspectorate staff, Supervisory staff and lower staff in the different field meetings.

Some of the RWAs/MTAs have submitted their letters for their willingness to start door-to-door garbage collection scheme in their area (Letters are placed in the file). Two meetings were also held in the chamber of the MOH and one meeting in the office of the undersigned with the representative of NGOs i.e. 1. Chintan 2. Action for Green Earth 3. Sarvodaya International Samaj Kalyan Society to submit their survey report of the circles assigned to them. Only the Chintan- NGO has submitted their survey report (placed in the file). The other NGOs i.e. Action for Green Earth and Sarvodaya International Samaj Kalyan Society yet have not submitted their survey report on the circles assigned for door-to-door garbage collection.

NDMC proposes to start this activity in the areas i.e. Ravinder Nagar (D-I Flats & RBI Flats), Bapa Nagar (C-I & C-II), Kaka Nagar (D-II Flats, NDMC Flats & Red Cross Society Flats), Bharti Nagar (D-I Flats), Tilak Lane (C-I, C-II & AB Flats) and Moti Bagh (D-II, C-II Flats) through the already working NGO – Chintan. The NGO has submitted the survey report. As the other two NGOs have not submitted their survey report till date, it is proposed to start the door-to-door garbage collection in the mentioned areas by the NGO - Chintan. The Chintan – NGO has shown the uniform, I-cards etc. to be provided to the workers and promised to provide them all other gadgets as per MSW Rules 2000.

Different types of bins available in market were surveyed by Health Department and NGOs. A model dustbin was selected seeing the capacity and feasibility of collection of garbage at the doorsteps. The models were shown to Chairman NDMC and then afterward to the Hon'ble Chief Minister of Govt. of NCT OF Delhi (photographs of the selected model of the dustbins are attached in the file). Simultaneously a tricycle rickshaw design was also finalized. Accordingly rates were collected from the manufacturer for making estimate for project.

#### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATION:-**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| a. Provision of twin bins set (one blue and one green) of 10 Lts. Capacity each for every household of the above mentioned residential area. |  |
| b. Total requirement of twin bin   | = 1100   |
| c. Estimated cost of one set of twin bin (Blue + green)  | = Rs. 800/- + tax  |
| d. Total expenditure on bin  | = Rs.1100 x 800=8,80,000+ taxes 12% (9,85,600/- approx.)                     |
| e. No. of tricycle rickshaw required   | = 12   |
| f. Cost of one tricycle rickshaw   | = Rs. 20000/- Approx. (inclusive of all taxes)                               |
| g. Total cost of tricycle rickshaw   | = Rs 20000 x 12 = 2.4 lacs   |
| h. 5% Contingencies expenditure  | Rs. 61,280/-   |
| i. Total financial implications  | = Rs. 12,86,880/- (in round figure about 13 lacs including 5% contingencies) |

Now to start with the project the following approval may kindly be accorded: -

1. Permission to grant to start door to door garbage collection by the Chintan an NGO in the residential colonies of Ravinder Nagar (D-I Flats & RBI Flats), Bapa Nagar (C-I & C-II), Kaka Nagar (D-II Flats, NDMC Flats & Red Cross Society Flats), Bharti Nagar (D-I Flats), Tilak Lane (C-I, C-II & AB Flats), Moti Bagh (D-II, C-II Flats).
2. Administrative approval and expenditure sanction of about Rs. 13 lacs for the above proposed pilot project.
3. Approval for formation of sub-committee comprising of MOH, CMOs (N & S), Director (PR), AO (PH), one representative from Finance Department and SO (HG) for initiating the process for the procurement of necessary equipment for the door to door garbage collection scheme.

Chairperson, NDMC vide his approval dated 24-10-2007 on N/P-47 approved the above proposals with the following remarks:-

"As proposed. Follow codal formalities for procurement. We need to take off the block now & cover the entire NDMC within four months. Secretary to monitor and MOH to coordinate. Have sensitization program also with the NGO. We may keep the Council informed in its 5<sup>th</sup> Nov. meeting."

The subject case was again discussed with Chairperson, NDMC. Chairperson directed that we should also include South Avenue & North Avenue MP Flats and Meena Bagh Flats in the on going Pilot project of door-to-door collection of garbage. Accordingly information was gathered from the concerned field staff and it was informed by the respective Area Sanitary Inspectors that a total no. of 500 flats exists in this area. Therefore after addition of 500 flats in the 1100 flats already identified, the approval of which has already been taken vide note on N/P-43-47, the revised financial implications will occur as under:-

**REVISED FINANCIAL IMPLICATION:-**

Revised estimate has been prepared with consultation AO (PH) which is as below:-

a.	Provision of twin bins set (one blue and one green) of 10 Lts. Capacity each for every household and tricycle rickshaw of the above mentioned residential areas.			
b.	Nos. already sanctioned on N/P -46	Nos. now added in the above revised proposal.	Total nos.	Estimate cost based on quotation dated 16-10-07 of M/s Sheetal.
c.	Total requirement of twin bin	1100	500	1600
d.	Estimated cost of one set of twin bin (Blue + green)			Rs. 800/- + 12.5% tax = Rs. 900/- each twinbin
e.	Total expenditure on twin bin			1,600 nos. x Rs. 900/- = 14,40,000/-
f.	No. of tricycle rickshaw required	12	--	12 = 8 + 4 (4 to be kept for issue in case of emergency due to defect in issued tricycle rickshaw)
g.	Cost of one tricycle rickshaw			Rs. 17,500/- + 12.5 % tax = Rs. 19,688/-
h.	Total cost of tricycle rickshaw			Rs. 19,688/- x 12 nos. =Rs 2,36,256/-
i.	Contingencies expenditure on (e & h)			Rs. 83,813/-
j.	Total revised financial implications			Rs. 17,60,069/- say Rs. 17,60,000/-

Revised Estimate expenditure and administrative approval required for

Now to start with the project the following approval may kindly be accorded: -

1. Permission to grant to start door to door garbage collection by the Chintan an NGO in the residential colonies of Ravinder Nagar (D-I Flats & RBI Flats), Bapa Nagar (C-I & C-II), Kaka Nagar (D-II Flats, NDMC Flats & Red Cross Society Flats), Bharti Nagar (D-I Flats), Tilak Lane (C-I, C-II & AB Flats), Moti Bagh (D-II, C-II Flats), South Avenue & North Avenue MP Flats and Meena Bagh Flats.
2. Administrative approval and expenditure sanction of about Rs. 17.60 Lacs approx. for the above proposed pilot project.
3. Approval for formation of a sub-committee comprising of MOH, CMOs (N & S), Director (PR), AE (Projects), one representative from Finance Department and SO (HG) for initiating the process for the procurement of necessary equipments for the door to door garbage collection scheme through call of short notice open tenders process.
4. The MOU between the NGO and concerned RWA will be executed between the parties and kept by them for watching due performance.
5. To grant Ex Facto sanction of total expenditure of Rs. 67,777/- for arrangement of tea, biscuits, mineral water, lunch for 200 persons, dais, chair, table tent etc. and two back drop banner for interactive session on door to door garbage collection which was organized at Jor Bagh Community Centre. The detail note are at Page No. -40 & 41.

The above proposal have been approved by the Chairperson, NDMC on N/P-50.

The case is laid before the Council for consideration and approval of proposals at Sr. No. 1-5 above.

#### **COUNCIL'S DECISION**

Resolved by the Council that in principle approval is accorded to the scheme and administrative approval and expenditure sanction to the estimated cost of Rs.17.60 lacs is accorded for starting door to door garbage collection by NDMC, with the help of Chintan, an NGO, in the residential colonies of Ravinder Nagar (D-I Flats & RBI Flats), Bapa Nagar (C-I & C-II), Kaka Nagar (D-II Flats, NDMC Flats & Red Cross Society Flats), Bharti Nagar (D-I Flats), Tilak Lane (C-I, C-II & AB Flats), Moti Bagh (D-II, C-II Flats), South Avenue & North Avenue MP Flats and Meena Bagh Flats.

The Council further decided to grant ex-post-facto approval of the total expenditure of Rs. 67,777/- for arrangement of tea, biscuits, mineral water, lunch for 200 persons, dais, chair, table tent etc. and two back drop banner for interactive session on door to door garbage collection which was organized at Jor Bagh Community Centre.

The Council also accorded approval for formation of a Sub-committee, comprising of MOH, CMOs (N & S), Director (PR), AE (Projects), one representative from Finance Department and SO(HG), for initiating the process for the procurement of necessary equipments for the door to door garbage collection scheme through call of short notice open tenders process.

It was further decided that the MOU between the NGO and the concerned RWA will be executed between the parties and kept by them for watching due performance.

Department may initiate action in anticipation of confirmation of minutes.

**(VIKRAM DEV DUTT)**  
SECRETARY

**( PARIMAL RAI )**  
CHAIRPERSON